

Neutrino-neutrino and neutrino-matter helicity flip interactions

Vicente Pleitez

*Instituto de Física Teórica
Universidade Estadual Paulista
Rua Pamplona, 145
01405-900– São Paulo, SP
Brazil
(February 1, 2008)*

Taking into account that neutrinos are massive particles and that they are produced mainly as states of negative helicity, we show that the neutral and charged current interactions change these neutrinos into transversally polarized states. This implies a considerable reduction of the neutrino flux when propagating through ordinary matter (electrons, protons and neutrons). The same happens when neutrinos propagate through the sea of relic neutrinos if these neutrinos are degenerate fermions. However, in this case the change of helicity depends on the value of the neutrino-anti-neutrino asymmetry.

14.60.Lm; 12.60.-i; 12.60.Cn

The recent solar [1,2] and atmospheric [3] neutrino data are consistent with three neutrinos with masses $m_1 = 0$, $m_2 = 2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ eV and $m_3 = 5 \times 10^{-2}$ eV. In this paper we show that if neutrinos are massive particles, the neutral and charged effective interactions due to Z^0 and $W^\pm$ exchange will induce an helicity flip on the neutrinos of negative helicity. These effects are complementary to those discovered, some years ago, by Stodolsky [4] and Wolfenstein [5].

Let us first consider neutrinos coming from astrophysical sources, here denoted by ν_A . They interact with the relic cosmological neutrinos, denoted by ν_r , through the effective hamiltonian (induced by the neutral current coupled to Z^0)

$$H_Z = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}c_W^2} \bar{\psi}_{\nu_A} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_{\nu_A} \bar{\psi}_{\nu_r} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_{\nu_r}. \quad (1)$$

We will also assume that neutrinos are Dirac fermions.

If the relic neutrino is massive we can use its rest frame. Since $T_\nu = 195 \text{ K} \approx 1.68 \times 10^{-4}$ eV, neutrinos with masses $m_{2,3} > T_\nu$ are non-relativistic at present. However, since our goal is to point out new effects we are only interested in the order of magnitude, as an illustration of the phenomena, we will assume for the sake of simplicity that the relic neutrino, ν_r , is the lightest neutrino, i.e., that with $m_1 = 0$, and that the astrophysical neutrinos ν_A are massive neutrinos, i.e., ν_2 or ν_3 . In the rest frame of the “neutrino sea”, in which the relic neutrinos are isotropically distributed, the term $\bar{\psi}_{\nu_r} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_{\nu_r}$ is the neutrino number density (in fact, $n_\nu - n_{\bar{\nu}}$) and for the relic neutrinos $1 - \gamma_5 = 2$. In the same frame the astrophysical neutrino has a velocity $\vec{v}$ and spin $\vec{\sigma}$; thus the corresponding bilinear is proportional to $1 + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{v}$. On the other hand, in the frame of the massive neutrino the

relic neutrinos have a current density $\vec{J}_\nu = 2n_\nu \vec{v} \gamma$, where $\gamma = (1 - v^2)^{-1/2} = E_{\nu_A}/m_{\nu_A}$ (we are using $\hbar = c = 1$). Since the helicity is an eigenstate of propagation, we can write the evolution equation in the source basis denoted by primed fields

$$i \frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_+ \\ \nu'_- \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} + \frac{2G_F n_\nu \gamma}{\sqrt{2}c_W^2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{v} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_+ \\ \nu'_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where τ is the proper time of the astrophysical neutrino. Neglecting an overall phase factor E (we are assuming $E'_+ = E'_- \equiv E$, otherwise the global phase is $(E'_+ + E'_-)/2$) we can re-write Eq. (2) as

$$i \frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_+ \\ \nu'_- \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2G_F n_\nu \gamma v_z}{\sqrt{2}c_W^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tan \theta e^{-i\phi} \\ \tan \theta e^{i\phi} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_+ \\ \nu'_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $\tan \theta = 2v_\perp/v_z$ with $v_\perp = (v_x^2 + v_y^2)^{1/2}$ and $\phi = \arctan(v_y/v_x)$; notice that $0 \leq \theta < \pi$ and $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. The eigenvalues of the matrix in Eq. (3) are given by

$$E_\pm = \pm \frac{2G_F n_\nu v \gamma}{\sqrt{2}c_W^2}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_+ \\ \nu_- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} & \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_+ \\ \nu'_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

denote the basis in which the hamiltonian is diagonal.

Astrophysical neutrinos are produced, as usual, via the standard electroweak processes, with are mainly of negative helicity ν'_- , however they become, because of the

interaction with the sea, a linear superposition of both helicity states

$$|\nu'_-\rangle = e^{-i\phi} \left(e^{-iE_+\tau} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} |\nu_+\rangle + e^{-iE_-\tau} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |\nu_-\rangle \right), \quad (6)$$

and we can calculate for the massive neutrinos the survival probability (they remain in the same helicity state):

$$P(\nu'_- \rightarrow \nu'_-) = 1 - \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \left(\frac{4G_F n_\nu}{\sqrt{2}c_W^2} d \right), \quad (7)$$

and the transition probability (when there is a helicity flip)

$$P(\nu'_- \rightarrow \nu'_+) = 1 - P(\nu'_- \rightarrow \nu'_-) \quad (8)$$

where $(E_+ - E_-)t/\gamma$ becomes $(4G_F n_\nu d)/\sqrt{2}c_W^2$, in the rest frame of the relic neutrinos $\tau = t\gamma^{-1}$, and we have defined $d = vt$ as the distance traveled through the relic neutrino sea.

If both ν and $\bar{\nu}$ are present n_ν means $n_\nu - n_{\bar{\nu}}$. However we can also assume that there is an asymmetry i.e., neutrinos form a degenerate Fermi gas, $n_\nu \neq 0$ and $n_{\bar{\nu}} \approx 0$ (or vice versa). The oscillation length defined from Eq. (7) is

$$l \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\sqrt{2}c_W^2}{4G_F n_\nu}, \quad (9)$$

and assuming $n_\nu \approx 1700 \text{ cm}^{-3} (1.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ eV}^3)$ [6] we see that $l = 5.28 \times 10^9 \text{ ly}$. Which is of the order of the radius of the observed universe. Notice, however, that for $d = 0.5l$ we have from Eq. (7) that $P(\nu'_- \rightarrow \nu'_-) = 0.5$ for $\theta = \pi/2$. Moreover, if the relic neutrinos are the massive ones they can be clustered in local galactic halo and it is possible to have $n_\nu = (10^7 - 10^9) \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [7]. Using, for instance, $n_\nu = 10^7$ the oscillation length is $l = 1.05 \times 10^6 \text{ ly}$, i.e., this clustering, if it does exist, reduce the oscillation length at least in some regions. (But in this case, relic neutrinos are non-relativistic and the approach of Ref. [8] has to be used.) If the value of l can be lower than the size of the universe we have to take also the average over the distance, the average survival probability is

$$P \equiv \langle P(\nu'_- \rightarrow \nu'_-) \rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta. \quad (10)$$

The average probability in θ may also be needed in some cases:

$$P_\theta \equiv \langle P(\nu'_- \rightarrow \nu'_-) \rangle_\theta = 0.75. \quad (11)$$

As an effect due to the potential it depends only on the number density of particles in the medium and on the distance traveled by the propagating particle. The

effect depends also on a non-zero, but otherwise arbitrary, neutrino mass. The same happens also for charged particles in cosmic rays, say electrons, however in this case both helicity states feel the electromagnetic interactions with the same strength so the effect is, in practice, usefulness. Neutrinos with positive helicity will have a similar effect, but since these neutrinos are produced by exotic unknown interactions their fluxes are reduced with respect to the flux of neutrinos produced in the usual electroweak processes.

The case when neutrinos propagate through ordinary matter is more interesting. We will consider only the charged current interaction (after a Fierz transformation) since here we are only showing the main features of the effect. The effective hamiltonian is

$$H_W = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\psi}_e \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_e \bar{\psi}_\nu \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_\nu, \quad (12)$$

ν is one of the massive neutrinos and we are neglecting mixing angles. We have with this interaction a flip of the electron spin due to massless neutrinos (Stodolsky effect); or the effect in the propagation through matter of massless or massive neutrinos (Wolfenstein effect); and also the spin flip of a massive neutrino due to the matter density, this is the effect that we will consider below. Similarly to Eq. (7) but without the $2/c_W^2$ factor and n_e being now the electron number density in the medium, say the Sun, the Earth, the atmosphere or even a detector. The oscillation length is now given by

$$l \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}G_F n_e}, \quad (13)$$

since in this case $\Delta E = \sqrt{2}G_F n_e v \gamma$, and v is now the neutrino velocity in the rest frame of the electrons. In the neutrino rest frame the electron current density is $\vec{J}_e = n_e \vec{v} \gamma$. Assuming a typical value $\rho = 1 \text{ gr/cm}^3$ (or $n_e \approx 8.45 \times 10^{12} \text{ eV}^3$) we see that the oscillation length is in this case $l \approx 2.2 \text{ km}$. In the solar interior l is two order of magnitude smaller than this value while in the atmosphere it is two or three order of magnitude larger. Hence in solar and atmospheric neutrino experiments only the averaged probability transition in Eq. (10) may be considered. A similar effect occur with protons through both neutral and charged currents and with neutrons through the neutral currents only.

We can interpret these effects, induced by the interactions in Eqs. (1) or (12), as follows. The usual neutrino oscillations which are driven by mass square differences can be understood as a transition between a left-handed neutrino of flavor f to a left-handed neutrino of flavor f' (f may be equal or different from f'), via a negative helicity neutrino mass eigenstate ν'_-

$$\nu_{fL} \rightarrow \nu'_- \rightarrow \nu_{f'L}. \quad (14)$$

In the standard model, flavor neutrinos are produced as left-handed states, the intermediate mass eigenstates

are also mainly of negative helicity because of $\nu_{fL} = \nu'_- + O(m/E_\nu)$. Since neutrino masses are small and the respective energies, for the usual experiments, are large we see that $\nu_{fL} \approx \nu'_-$. However, in the presence of matter (or relic neutrinos) there is an helicity flip $\nu'_- \rightarrow \nu'_+$ and this transition is independent of the neutrino mass, it depends only on the matter (sea) density, but again $\nu'_+ \approx \nu_{fR} + O(m/E_\nu)$ the neutrino is not detected since it is mainly an (sterile) right-handed neutrino.

Hence, as an illustration, in the two flavor case and assuming $m_1 = 0$ and $m_2 \neq 0$ (but otherwise arbitrary) we should write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_f & P \sin \theta_f \\ -\sin \theta_f & P \cos \theta_f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

where θ_f is a Cabibbo-like mixing angle. For geochemical experiments $P = P_\theta = 0.75$ must be used. On the other hand, in directional, real time experiments in which the z -axis is already defined as the azimuthal direction, we can identify θ with the azimuthal angle and Eq. (10) must be used. Only vacuum neutrino oscillation experiments are not sensitive to the effect induced by the hamiltonian given in Eq. (12). In this case the oscillation length is large enough (induced only by the relic neutrinos) to be appreciable at the typical distances of this sort of experiments. This is the case for the LSND experiment [9].

The considerations above are valid for Dirac neutrinos. In this case the right-handed chiral states are sterile neutrinos, ν_R . For Majorana neutrinos ν_R 's are not sterile but interact mainly with anti-leptons. In this case in order to have a non-vanishing effect in the neutrino-neutrino interactions, it is necessary to have a net left-handed chirality asymmetry, $n_{\nu_L} \neq 0$, $n_{\nu_R} \approx 0$. The Stodolsky effect with Majorana relic neutrinos was considered also in Ref. [8].

The mechanical forces exerted on macroscopic targets by relic neutrinos is unfortunately very small [8,10]. However we have considered here the effect on neutrinos propagating in a medium which can be the relic neutrino sea or ordinary charged or neutral matter. The important thing, besides a non-zero but arbitrary mass, is the number density of the medium and the distance travel by the neutrinos. The helicity flip does not depend on the real value of the neutrino masses.

There are also spin-spin interactions (i.e., the effect of a vector potential) but this case needs a more careful treatment. We would like to call the effects above considered "GE_FAN-effects".

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), Conselho Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia (CNPq) and by Programa de Apoio a Núcleos de Excelência (PRONEX). The author would like to thank G. Matsas, all the

GE_FAN and IFT-UNESP collaborators for useful discussions.

-
- [1] B. T. Cleveland *et al.* (Homestake Collaboration), *Astrophys. J.* **496**, 505 (1998); K. S. Hirata *et al.* (Kamiokande Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1683 (1996); W. Hampel *et al.* (GALLEX Collaboration), *Phys. Lett. B* **477**, 127 (1999); J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.* (SAGE Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 4708 (1996); *Phys. Rev. C* **60**, 055801 (1999).
 - [2] Q. R. Ahmad *et al.* (SNO Collaboration), *nucl-ex/0106015*.
 - [3] Y. Fukuda *et al.* (SuperKamiokande Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 1562 (1998); *ibid*, **82**, 2644 (1999).
 - [4] L. Stodolsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34**, 110 (1975).
 - [5] L. Wolfenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **17**, 2369 (1978).
 - [6] J. Lesgourgues and S. Pastor, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 103521 (1999).
 - [7] D. Fargion, B. Mele and A. Salis, *Astrophys. J.* **517**, 725 (1999).
 - [8] G. Dudas, G. Gelmini and S. Nussinov, *hep-ph/0107027*.
 - [9] C. Athanassopoulos *et al.* (LSND Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3082 (1996); *ibid* **81**, 1774 (1998).
 - [10] N. Cabibbo and L. Maiani, *Phys. Lett.* **114B**, 115 (1982); P. Langacker, J. P. Leville and J. Sheiman, *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 1228 (1983).